

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0

2

3
4
5
6

7

8
9
0
1

2
3
4
5

6
7

8

9
0
1
2
3
4

5
6
7
8
9
0


1 straight vanes of Hayes (or Zaniewski) with curved vanes, and there is no evidence that doing so
2 would solve a problem recognized in the art. Accordingly, the rejection of Claim 1 under
3 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by either Hayes or Zaniewski should be withdrawn.

4 Rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103

5 The Examiner has in the alternative rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious
6 over either (or both) Hayes or Zaniewski. However, the amendment to Claim 1 further obviates this
7 alternative rejection, because the cited art does not teach or suggest curved vanes like those recited in
8 the claim.

9 In view of the preceding remarks, it should be evident that this application is in condition for
10 allowance and should be passed to issue without delay. Should any further questions remain, the
11 Examiner is invited to telephone applicant's attorney at the number listed below.

12
13 Respectfully submitted,

14 
15
16 Michael C. King
17 Registration No. 44,832

18 MCK/RMA:lrg
19

20 MAILING CERTIFICATE:

21 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed
22 envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid addressed to: Commissioner for Patents,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 10, 2004.

23 Date: September 10, 2004
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

